

**MINUTES OF THE KENNEL CLUB WORKING TRIALS LIAISON COUNCIL MEETING
HELD AT 10.30 AM AT THE KENNEL CLUB ON 27 APRIL 2016**

PRESENT:

Mrs F Ball	Iceni Dog Training Club
Miss R Cahill	Welsh Kennel Club
Mr E Carpenter	Essex Working Trials Society
Miss J Carruthers	North East Counties Working Trials Society (up to item 14)
Mr B Gilbert	ASPADS Working Trials Society
Mr N Hines	Lincolnshire German Shepherd Dog And All Breeds Training Society
Mrs J Holt	North West Working Trials Society
Mrs J Howells	Hampshire Working Trials Society
Mr M Lewindon	Surrey Dog Training Society
Mr G Martin	East Anglian Working Trials Training Society
Mr R Musgrave	Yorkshire Working Trials Society
Mrs L Priestley	The Bloodhound Club
Mr B Riste	Wessex Working Trials Club
Mr B Russell	Scottish Kennel Club
Mr D Robinson	Association of Bloodhound Breeders
Mr G Talbot	Midland Counties German Shepherd Dog Association
Mrs S Tannert	British Association for German Shepherd Dogs
Mr J Wykes	Leamington Dog Training Club

IN ATTENDANCE:

Miss D Deuchar	Manager – Canine Activities
Miss R Mansfield	Specialist – Working Dog Activities
Mrs A Mitchell	Committee Secretary – Working Dog Activities

ITEM 1. TO ELECT A CHAIRMAN FOR THE TERM OF THE COUNCIL

1. Following a ballot, Mr Gilbert was elected as Chairman for the term of the Council.

IN THE CHAIR: MR B GILBERT

ITEM 2. TO ELECT A VICE CHAIRMAN FOR THE TERM OF THE COUNCIL

2. Following a ballot, Miss Carruthers was elected as Vice Chairman for the term of the Council.

ITEM 3. TO ELECT A REPRESENTATIVE ONTO THE ACTIVITIES SUB-COMMITTEE EFFECTIVE FROM JUNE 2016 TO MAY 2019

3. The Council noted that traditionally the Vice Chairman represented the Council on the Activities Sub-Committee but this was not mandatory, it was noted that Miss Carruthers had been nominated as a member of the Activities Sub-Committee by Working Trials Associates (subject to General Committee approval). Mr Martin was elected as the representative onto the Activities Sub-Committee for the above term.

ITEM 4. PRESENTATION TO THE COUNCIL ON KENNEL CLUB STRUCTURES AND PROCEDURES

4. The Council noted a presentation which explained Kennel Club and Liaison Council structures and procedures. It was agreed that the office would send a copy of the presentation to all Council representatives.

ITEM 5. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

5. Apologies had been received from Mrs F Webb.

ITEM 6. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES

6. The minutes of the meeting held on 30 April 2015 were signed by the Chairman as an accurate record.

ITEM 7. MATTERS ARISING/RESULTS OF RECOMMENDATIONS

Review of Jump Heights/Lengths

7. At the Council's previous meeting it had been agreed that the office would investigate whether any existing research had been undertaken by organisations, such as the Defence Animal Centre (part of the Ministry of Defence), the WUSV (World Union for German Shepherd Dogs), the FCI, those responsible for training police dogs, and IPO (formerly Schutzhund) organisations.
8. The office advised that only one response had been received to its enquiries, from the Ministry of Defence, which had stated that it had not undertaken any relevant research.
9. The Council noted that should it consider that research was necessary, it could make a suitable request via the Activities Health and Welfare Sub-Group.

Proposed Amendment to Regulation I(A)6.b

10. The Council noted that the Activities Sub-Committee had not recommended for approval the proposed amendment to the above Regulation, whereby for a dog to qualify for entry in CD Championship it must have obtained a Certificate of Merit in CD Open.
11. The Sub-Committee had noted the Council's view that this would give value to the CD Open Stake by making it a part of the qualification 'ladder', but did not consider that it was advisable that any restriction should be placed on the number of events available to competitors. It had also expressed a concern that the proposed amendment may result in a reduction in overall entries. The Sub-Committee's comments were noted.
12. This led to a discussion regarding the way in which decisions from the Sub-Committee were communicated, particularly in the case where a proposal from the Council had not received approval. There was some concern that the proposing club or individual was placed in a difficult situation if they were not informed of the outcome.
13. It was highlighted by the office that all matters discussed by the Sub-Committee were private and confidential and were not in the public domain, however, the Sub-Committee had agreed that in future the office would be permitted to advise the relevant Liaison Council representative of a decision made in respect of a proposal being rejected that had been recommended by that Council.

Proposed amendment to Regulation I(C)10.e.

14. The Council noted that the General Committee, at its meeting held on 14 July 2015, approved the following:
Regulation I(C)10.e.
TO:
The scale should be a vertical wall of wooden planks which ~~may~~ **must** be grooved or chamfered along their bottom edge to assist the dog.
15. A discussion took place as to whether it was necessary for every board (or plank) to be grooved or chamfered. It was noted that the original proposal had been made in the interests of the welfare of competing dogs as it was considered that grooves or chamfers would assist the dog to negotiate the obstacle and therefore that the above Regulation should apply to every board. It did not consider that this would cause undue difficulty or expense to clubs.
16. There was some concern that the Regulation did not make this clear and a suggestion was made that the wording be amended further in the interests of clarity. However, on further discussion it was agreed that the wording used was sufficient and that no further amendment was necessary.

Proposal for introduction of an introductory test for nosework and related amendments of Regulations relating to a Novice Stake

17. At the Council's previous meeting it was agreed that further consideration of the proposal should be deferred until its next meeting when a revised proposal could be submitted.
18. The Council noted that Surrey Dog Training Society did not wish to progress the proposal at this stage.

ITEM 8. FIVE YEAR STRATEGY

Mr M Lewindon

Development of the Five Year Strategy

19. Mr Lewindon requested that the Council consider ways in which the Five Year Strategy may be developed, supported by tactical plans, business plans and performance measures to assess success in meeting those goals. Mr Lewindon had submitted a preliminary document setting out broad objectives as a basis for further discussion.
20. The main points of the suggested plan were as follows:
 - **Ensuring the Health, Fitness, Safety and Welfare of dogs taking part in Working Trials**
 - Ensure that the Kennel Club understands the potential risks to dogs arising from undertaking Working Trials exercises and takes measures to minimise those risks
 - Ensure that rules and guidelines support the safe conduct of the sport
 - Share good practice and learning opportunities concerning the safe conduct of tests
 - **Increasing participation in the sport of Working Trials**
 - Raise awareness about the availability of training for Working Trials
 - Develop options to attract new people into Working Trials at the lowest levels
 - **Ensuring standards of training and capabilities of dogs to compete in Working Trials**
 - Consider options for standardising test levels and judging standards
 - Consider promoting those organisations and trainers who are capable of training potential Working Trials participants to compete at an acceptable standard
 - **Modernising management of Working Trials**
 - Sharing good practice to modernise the management of Working Trials
21. It was noted that many of the issues raised within the document would be discussed later in the meeting as part of other agenda items, but Mr Lewindon

was thanked for providing a very helpful document which could be used as the basis for further development.

Activities Sub-Committee

Increase in entries

22. The Sub-Committee had welcomed the Council's suggestion that an objective be set to achieve a 10% increase in entries in Introductory and other early Stakes, but had requested that the Council provide a more detailed plan as to how this would be achieved.
23. The Council highlighted that at present there were very few entries into Introductory Stakes and that the suggested 10% was a nominal figure. It was accepted that as a first step it would be necessary to gauge current levels of participation, but noted that simply assessing the number of handlers and dogs competing in any one class would not provide an accurate measure of participation.
24. It was suggested that a list of current competitors be compiled using information which, it was hoped, could be obtained from Mr M Skillin, the Editor of Working Trials Monthly. This would provide baseline data for the overall number of current participants against which future progress could be measured.
25. Mr Gilbert offered to contact Mr Skillin to request this information, and it was agreed that at its next meeting the Council would continue to consider ways in which new competitors could be attracted into the discipline and how entries could be increased.
26. The Council noted the Sub-Committee's view that it was hoped that more people could be encouraged to take part who did not currently participate in any canine activities.
27. It also noted the Sub-Committee's suggestion that the profile of Working Trials should be raised within Kennel Club Registered Clubs. It was highlighted that it appeared that new members joining the Young Kennel Club (YKC) did not receive information regarding Working Trials in their Welcome Pack. The office agreed to investigate this issue.

ITEM 9. PROPOSALS FROM SOCIETIES/PRIVATE INDIVIDUALS

Mr J Wykes

Proposed Amendments to Regulations I1.c., I21.c.(12) and I(A)9.a.

28. Mr Wykes wished to propose amendments to Regulations which would remove the jumps and scale from the Introductory Stake, and lower the minimum age at which a dog may be entered for the Introductory Stake. The proposal was seconded by Mr Carpenter.

29. The objective of the proposal was to encourage competitors into Working Trials earlier. By removing the jumps, handlers would only participate in nose work and control exercises and would therefore be able to begin competing with dogs of under 18 months of age.
30. It was acknowledged that some potential competitors found the jumps rather daunting and the Council accepted the intent of the proposal. However, a view was expressed that removal of the jumps from the Introductory Stake made it too similar to an Obedience exercise and did not reflect the nature of Working Trials.
31. A concern was raised that some potential competitors were also daunted by out of sight stays. Mrs Cahill proposed an amendment to the original proposal that stays for the Introductory Stake be revised to a 1 minute sit stay, in sight, and a 2 minute down stay, in sight. The amendment was seconded by Mr Robertson.
32. A vote took place on the amended proposal which was not supported.
33. Subsequently, a vote took place on the original proposal which was not supported.

Southern Alsatian Training Society

Mrs F Webb

Proposed amendment to Regulation I(C)4

34. The Southern Alsatian Training Society wished to propose an amendment to the above Regulation so that, at Open Trials, where a mix of stakes was judged by a single control judge, the down stay would be judged in two groups.
35. It was noted that due to travel issues Mrs Webb was not able to be present and that therefore it was not possible to discuss the proposal and as such may submit the proposal for consideration on the next agenda.

ITEM 10. DISCUSSION ITEMS

East Anglian Working Trials Training Society

Use of electric collars

36. The discussion item was presented by Mr Martin. The East Anglian Working Trials Training Society requested that the Council discuss whether in the interests of welfare, all societies should be required by the Kennel Club to ban the use of electric collars and pinch/prong collars at all club events and training, and that rules regarding their use should be written into the constitution of all societies.
37. The office confirmed that at present there was no requirement for clubs to include such a clause in their constitutions. However, clubs may ban the use of such devices should they wish to do so.
38. It was highlighted that two separate issues were involved, one relating to electric collars, and one relating to pinch/prong collars. It was noted that the Kennel Club was actively campaigning against the use of electric shock collars.

39. In respect of pinch/prong collars, handlers using such devices may be reported to the Kennel Club for harsh handling. Any such reports would be investigated. However, it was acknowledged that although collars of this type had the potential to cause pain, many professional and amateur dog trainers used them and it was not possible to infer that their use automatically constituted harsh handling.
40. It was also accepted that check/choke chains, although in widespread use in many disciplines, had the potential to cause suffering and distress to a dog.
41. As there was a range of views on the issue, it was agreed that further consultation was necessary before any recommendation could be made for consideration by the Activities Sub-Committee. All Council representatives were requested to ascertain the views of clubs regarding the use of electric collars, pinch/prong collars, and check/choke chains, with a view to the formulation of a specific recommendation to be considered at the Council's next meeting. Mr Martin agreed to formulate the necessary proposal/s.

Mr G Martin

Introduction of new Stakes

42. Mr Martin, an individual, wished to suggest that in order to encourage newcomers into the discipline, three new graduated Stakes should be offered which would give a meaningful qualification and potential encouragement to progress. These would be open to dogs aged from 12 months, and would consist of the following exercises:
 - WT 1 - Search Square, Heelwork, Retrieve, Sendaway, Recall, Sit and Down Stay.
 - WT 2 - Track 300m long, 1 / 2 articles 10 mins old. Search Square, Heelwork, Retrieve, Sendaway, Recall, Sit and Down Stays
 - WT 3 - Track 500m long, 1 / 2 articles 20 mins old. Search Square, Heelwork, Retrieve, Sendaway, Recall, Sit and Down Stays
43. It was suggested that the Introductory Stake be discontinued and replaced with the above which would offer a structured and progressive introduction to competitive Working Trials.
44. The Council was requested to consider whether the introduction of the above new Stakes would encourage new participants in Working Trials.
45. There were some concerns as to whether there may be a resultant strain on land, and on the resources required on clubs to offer such Stakes.
46. It was noted that clubs already had the facility to offer Special stakes. It was accepted that newer competitors were often not prepared to travel long distances in order to compete. The Council was of the view that all clubs should be encouraged to offer Introductory Stakes, and Special Stakes aimed at newer competitors, which would attract people into the discipline.

47. This led to a discussion as to whether it was desirable to exclude more experienced handlers from competing against new competitors. Some new competitors found it intimidating to compete against those with experience whereas others found it to be a welcome and valuable opportunity to gain knowledge and expertise.
48. Mr Martin was thanked for his suggestion, the principle of which was generally supported. However, the Council was of the view that it would be preferable for clubs to run Special Stakes aimed at new competitors. Should these prove successful in attracting new handlers, more formal proposals for the introduction of new Stakes would be considered.

East Anglian Working Trials Training Society

Small dogs competing in Working Trials

49. The item was presented by Mr Martin. The Council was requested to discuss the issue of small dogs competing in Working Trials and whether societies should be permitted to run 'small dog' Stakes CD through to TD, with their own awards and Working Trial Certificates.
50. It was accepted that at present very few small dogs competed in Working Trials, although it was possible that more may be encouraged to do so if separate Stakes were available for them.
51. However, the Council was of the view that the introduction of 'small dog' Stakes would not attract a significant number of new competitors, and the suggestion was not supported.

Mrs Y Carpenter

Correlation of markers in relation to the height of the Scale

52. This item was presented by Mr Martin on behalf of Mrs Carpenter, who wished the Council to discuss the correlation of the 9 ft markers at the scale jump to the height of the dog. The markers for the scale related to the distance for large dogs, however smaller dogs were afforded the same distance but for a smaller height. Mrs Carpenter's view was that this appeared to be an anomaly as the Regulation had originally been introduced in order to stop dogs running at the scale from a distance and thus preventing injury.
53. However, it was emphasised that handlers had discretion as to the distance from which their dogs were sent, and that many handlers of small dogs used a much shorter distance.
54. The Council was not of the view that there was an issue with the distance of the markers, and the discussion item was not supported.

ASPADS Working Trials Society

Gun tests

55. Mr Gilbert presented the item. The Council was requested to discuss the provision of a plan as to what action would be necessary in the event that the use of guns became impossible due to legislation regarding the use of firearms. The intention was to provide guidance for the office, should circumstances dictate.
56. The suggestion from ASPADS Working Trials Society was that under the above circumstances, the gun test should be deleted and the qualifying marks adjusted accordingly so that that the group total for Control would be reduced from 35 to 30 with a mark of 21 needed to qualify. Total marks for the Stake would also be amended.
57. It was noted that there had been a case where two individuals who had been training their gundogs, with permission, on private land, had been prosecuted regarding their use of a firearm. However it was acknowledged that such situations were rare. Societies running trials involving firearms were advised to contact the police beforehand to make them aware of the event.
58. The Council was in support of the suggested course of action, emphasising that it should only be implemented where the use of guns was no longer permitted due to legislation.

Yorkshire Working Trials Society

Gun tests

59. Mr Musgrave presented the item on behalf of Yorkshire Working Trials Society which wished the Council to discuss the possibility of removing the gun test from the Control section of Working Trials. If agreed, a further discussion would be necessary in order to re-assign the five points.
60. The Society was of the view that the gun test did not effectively test the dog which was commanded to stay, so that a dog which was gun shy would still get five points for staying while under command. It also considered that it was unnecessary for guns to form part of a trial, and there was also a concern that guns could be unreliable and often misfired.
61. However, the Council considered that the test was an integral part of the Control exercise and that there was no necessity for it to be removed.
62. The item was not supported.

North West Working Trials Society

Competing in PD Open

63. The North West Working Trials Society, represented by Mrs Holt, requested the Council to consider whether if, after obtaining a PD Excellent qualification, competitors should be allowed to continue to enter PD Open for a further 12 months from the qualifying date with the same dog.

64. The main objective would be to assist PD competitors, noting that there was a steep learning curve for handlers moving into PD Championship. Allowing such competitors to continue to compete in PD Open would allow the standard of work and the experience of the handler to be consolidated before a competitor became confined to working PD Championship only.
65. The Council noted the Society's views but emphasised that it was up to judges to set tests at the appropriate level to ensure that the perceived difference in difficulty was not excessive.
66. A query was raised as to whether it was problematic to have PD Championship competitors competing in PD Open. Some PD Open competitors would not find this to be an issue whereas others may find it daunting.
67. It was acknowledged that the intention of the suggestion was to help PD handlers remain in the discipline, and there was some support for it on the basis that it would provide additional training for such handlers. However, the majority did not consider that there was a necessity for any change to be made to the current system.
68. The item was not supported.

ITEM 11. KENNEL CLUB WORKING TRIAL CHAMPIONSHIPS

Date of the Working Trials Championships

69. The Activities Sub-Committee was understanding of the Council's wish to allow for flexibility in the date of the Working Trials Championships due to the difficulties involved in obtaining suitable land.
70. However, it was of the view that the wording of Regulation I20.f. already allowed for adequate flexibility and accordingly did not recommend any amendment.
71. It hoped that should it be necessary for the Championships to take place in November, this could be achieved by means of liaison with other societies and with the office but there would be no necessity for formal approval by the Sub-Committee, although it would be necessary to ensure that there was no clash of dates with other societies.
72. The Council welcomed the clarification provided by the Sub-Committee, noting that the issue had caused some difficulties to societies in the past.

Hosts for 2018 and 2020 Kennel Club Working Trial Championships

73. It was noted that North West Working Trials Society had offered to host the 2018 Kennel Club Championships, which it was anticipated would take place in the third week of November.

74. An offer from Surrey Dog Training Society to host the 2020 Championships was also noted.
75. Both of the above Societies were thanked.
76. The Council noted that ASPADS Working Trials Society had been approved to host the 2019 Kennel Club Championships.

Ballot for Judges for the 2019 Kennel Club Working Trial Championships

77. A ballot was conducted for the Council's nomination of judges for the 2019 Kennel Club Working Trials Championships.

Judges

78. The Council noted that the following judges had been appointed for the 2018 Working Trial Championships:

TD - Mr R Musgrave

PD - Mr G Martin

ITEM 12. ESSEX WORKING TRIALS SOCIETY

79. At its meeting on 30 April 2015, the Council noted that the General Committee had agreed that Essex Working Trials Society may run both Tracking Dog and Patrol Dog Championship stakes at the same trial. The agreement was only valid for Essex Working Trials Society until such time as a review could be carried out to assess the results of the arrangement.
80. Having successfully run Tracking Dog and Patrol Dog Championship Stakes at the same trial in November 2015, Essex Working Trials Society wished the Council to consider its proposal that all Societies should be permitted to run Tracking Dog and Patrol Dog Championship Stakes at the same trial should they wish to do so.
81. It was acknowledged that there may be an increase in the number of Patrol Dog Working Trial Certificates becoming available, but the office confirmed that there was no limit on these.
82. The Council fully supported the proposal that any society should have the option to run Tracking Dog and Patrol Dog stakes at the same trial if it wished to do so.

ITEM 13. JUDGES WORKING PARTY

83. The Council noted a report from Mr Gilbert on the progress of the Judges Working Party since the Council's last meeting on 30 April 2015 together with a verbal report following the Working Party's meeting on 19 April 2016.

84. The Council noted that the 'Guidance for Judges' booklet had been revised and updated. The new booklets had been printed prior to Crufts.
85. The Bloodhound Trial Regulations and Judging Procedure seminar presentation had been reviewed to reflect the current practice of running a Rules and Regulations seminar followed by the paper, and the practical session on the following day.
86. Development of e-learning facilities was continuing, with resources relating to breed showing now available. Similar resources for other disciplines were under development. It was anticipated that e-learning had great potential to improve the way in which judges were trained in the future and to expand the information available to them.
87. When resources allowed, the intention was to provide short videos of dogs working, together with 'what if' scenarios which would be used as a part of judges' training.
88. It was suggested that an annotated video of a dog tracking, using footage taken by a drone, would be a useful resource. This was noted but it was acknowledged that there were strict regulations covering the use of drones.

ITEM 14. ACTIVITIES HEALTH AND WELFARE SUB-GROUP

89. The Council noted a report from Mr Gilbert on the progress of the Activities Health and Welfare Sub-Group since the Council's last meeting on 30 April 2015.
90. The majority of the Sub-Group's work related to Agility issues. However, the Kennel Club Charitable Trust had agreed to provide funding to carry out specific research projects which may relate to issues within any Activities discipline.
91. Research had been carried out by Nottingham Trent University regarding the effect on dogs of different distances between jumps used by the Kennel Club and the FCI. Although accepting that it was difficult to draw definite conclusions, the research had indicated that more experienced dogs were able to learn more quickly to adjust their body positions than those with less experience.
92. Force plate analysis had also been carried out by the Royal Veterinary College to analyse the forces on the dog's body during take-off and landing. It was suggested that similar research on dogs taking part in Working Trials may prove to be of value, in particular to assess how much braking force was needed to prepare for a jump. Research on landing forces would also be of interest. However it was acknowledged that research would only provide information on what range of forces was normal, and would not indicate what was, or was not, acceptable.

93. Should the Council wish to request research, it would be necessary for a specific and detailed proposal to be submitted. Council representatives were requested to contact Mr Gilbert with any suggestions.

ITEM 15. ANY OTHER BUSINESS

Emblehope Estate

94. It was noted that the Kennel Club had purchased the Emblehope Estate in the north-east of England. The estate consisted of approximately 7,000 acres of moorland and woodland.
95. It was hoped that a representative of Working Trials would be able to visit the estate in the near future to assess its potential for Working Trials. It was noted that both Mr S Ford and Miss L Pogodzinski were members of the Working Party involved in development of the estate for Working Trial activities.

Council meetings

96. A query was raised as to whether it would be possible for the Council to hold two meetings per year. The office confirmed that it may do so should there be sufficient business to discuss. A second meeting in 2016 may take place if warranted.

ITEM 16. DATE OF NEXT MEETING

97. The date of the next meeting would be confirmed in September 2016, unless an additional meeting in 2016 was considered to be necessary.
98. There being no further matters to discuss, the meeting was closed at 15.00 pm. with a vote of thanks to the previous Chair and Vice-Chair.

B GILBERT
Chairman

THE KENNEL CLUB'S STRATEGIC OBJECTIVES

“To raise the relevance of the Kennel Club in the eyes of the public at large, dog owners and those who take part in canine events, so as to be better able ‘to promote in every way the general improvement of dogs.’ This objective to be achieved through:-

- **Ensuring that the Kennel Club is the first port of call on all canine matters.**
- **Improving canine health and welfare.**
- **Popularising canine events focusing on the retention of existing participants and the attracting of new.**
- **Achieving a widening of the Kennel Club membership base.**
- **Encouraging the development of all those concerned with dogs through education and training.**
- **Encouraging more people to provide input in the Kennel Club's decision making process.**

Liaison Societies for Non-Championship Working Trials Societies

Working Trials Society

Australian Shepherd Club Of The United Kingdom
Aveley Obedience & Working Trials Society
Avon Working Trials Training Society
Aylesbury Canine Training Society
Billingshurst Dog Training Club
Birmingham & District German Shepherd Dog Association
Central Bernese Mountain Dog Club
Chipping Norton & District Dog Training Club
Cynllan Lodge Dog Training Club
Deveron Dog Training Club
Donyatt Dog Training Club
East Anglian Working Trials Training Society
Grampian Gundog Club
Haslemere & District Dog Training Club
High Peak Dog Training Society
Hucknall & District Canine Training Society
Lochaber & District Canine Society
Midlands Border Collie Club
Mid Wales Working Gundog Society
National Australian Shepherd Association
Newlands Working Dog Society
North Of England Weimaraner Society
Northants & Bedfordshire Working Trials Dog Training
Northern Alsatian & All Breeds Training Society
Northern Newfoundland Club
Portland Dog Training Club
Ranmore Dog Training Club
Rough & Smooth Collie Training Association
Scottish Kennel Club
Six Counties Working Trials Society
Slovakian Rough Haired Pointer Club (Provisional)
South Devon Agility & Dog Training Club
South Leeds Working Trials Dog Training Club
Spanish Water Dog Club (Provisional)
Spey Valley Dog Training Club
Sporting Irish Water Spaniel Club
Stonehouse Dog Training Club
Weimaraner Club Of Great Britain
Weimaraner Club Of Scotland
Working Belgian Shepherd Dog Society
Ynys Mon Dog Training Society

Representative Society

Yorkshire Working Trials Society
Essex Working Trials Society
Wessex Working Trials Club
ASPADS Working Trials Society
Southern Alsatian Training Society
Leamington Dog Training Club
ASPADS Working Trials Society
British Association For German Shepherd Dogs
Welsh Kennel Club
Scottish Working Trials Society
Wessex Working Trials Club
Lincolnshire German Shepherd Dog & All Breeds
Scottish Working Trials Society
Surrey Dog Training Society
North West Working Trials Society
Midland Counties German Shepherd Dog Association
Scottish Working Trials Society
Midland Counties German Shepherd Dog Association
Welsh Kennel Club
Iceni German Shepherd Dog Club
Surrey Dog Training Society
North East Counties Working Trials Society
ASPADS Working Trials Society
Yorkshire Working Trials Society
British Association For German Shepherd Dogs
Poole & District Dog Training Society
Surrey Dog Training Society
Leamington Dog Training Club
Scottish Working Trials Society
North West Working Trials Society
Yorkshire Working Trials Society
Poole & District Dog Training Society
Yorkshire Working Trials Society
Lincolnshire German Shepherd Dog & All Breeds
Scottish Working Trials Society
North West Working Trials Society
British Association For German Shepherd Dogs
Essex Working Trials Society
Scottish Working Trials Society
ASPADS Working Trials Society
Welsh Kennel Club